

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner suggested that Applicants amend the drawings to recite “METHOD, SYSTEM, AND PROGRAM FOR PROCESSING PACKETS UTILIZING DESCRIPTOR LOGIC” (Final Office Action, pg. 2). Based on this suggestion, Applicants amended the title to read “METHOD, SYSTEM, AND PROGRAM FOR PROCESSING PACKETS UTILIZING DESCRIPTORS”, not using the suggested term “logic”.

The Examiner rejected claims 21-30 as directed to non-statutory subject matter (35 U.S.C. §101). During the phone interview, the Examiner and the attorney for applicants discussed an amendment to the claims to overcome this rejection which Applicants have made herein. In particular, Applicants amended claim 21 to recite that the “article of manufacture” comprises “a device having code” and to recite that the “code causes operations to be performed”.

Applicants request the Examiner to withdraw the Section 101 rejection in view of this amendment.

Conclusion

For all the above reasons, Applicant submits that the pending claims 1-30 are patentable over the art of record. Applicants have not added any claims. Nonetheless, should any additional fees be required, please charge Deposit Account No. 50-0585.

The attorney of record invites the Examiner to contact him at (310) 553-7977 if the Examiner believes such contact would advance the prosecution of the case.

Dated: October 18, 2006

By: /David Victor/

David W. Victor
Registration No. 39,867

Please direct all correspondences to:

David Victor
Konrad Raynes & Victor, LLP
315 South Beverly Drive, Ste. 210
Beverly Hills, CA 90212
Tel: 310-553-7977
Fax: 310-556-7984